



Technical Paper

Morphometric Analysis of Kolavadi Sub-Watershed in Bhor Tahsil Using GIS Techniques



Manojkumar P. Devne, Nitin N. Mundhe*, Akshada H. Kamble, Ganesh M. Dhawale

Department of Geography, Sir Parashurambhau College, Pune, India.

Abstract

The growing demand and competition for water from domestic, industrial and agricultural sectors reached utmost limit. Drainage basins, catchments, and sub-catchments are the hydrological units ideally suited for planning of conservation of land and water resources. GIS techniques are useful for analysis of morphometric properties of any watershed. Morphometric aspects: linear, relief, and areal aspects of Kolavadi sub-watershed of Upper Nira basin were analyzed using spatial tools and arc-hydro tool in Arc GIS 10.3. The bifurcation ratio (2 to 4.5) indicates structural disturbances and mature topography with higher degree of drainage integration. This watershed shows less elongated shape with low relief, moderate to gentle slope, moderate drainage density and highly prone to soil erosion. Techniques used in study and results are useful for planning and monitoring the sub-watersheds for sustainable development.

Article history

Received: 08 May 2019

Revised: 20 July 2019

Accepted: 09 August 2019

Keywords

DEM;
GIS;
Hydrology;
Morphometric Aspects;
Morphometric Analysis;
Watershed.

Editor(s)

M. A. Siddiqui

1 INTRODUCTION

Population pressure on water resources is increasing day by day in India. Watershed is fundamental unit for conservation and preservation of natural resources. Soil and water conservation are critical issues in watershed management. Water resource development plays vital role in economic and social development of any country (Nag, 1998; Reddy *et al.*, 2004; Das and Mukherjee, 2005).

Morphometric analysis is the dimension and mathematical investigation of the Earth's surface, shape, and measurement of the landforms (Clarke, 1966). It is easy to understand the behavior of the hydrological system (Agarwal, 1998) and assists in recognizing the hydrological distinctiveness and the results will be valuable input for comprehensive water resource management (Jawaharraj *et al.*, 1998; Sree Devi *et al.*, 2001). Horton (1940) and Strahler (1950) were initiated morphometric studies in the field of hydrology. The morphometric analysis involves the analysis of various linear, areal, and relief parameters of watershed. These

parameters are very significant for watershed management and hydro-geomorphological investigation (Gaikwad). The quantitative analysis of morphometric parameters is found to be of immense utility in river basin evolution, and it is very significant in understanding the landform processes, soil physical properties and erosion characteristics. They also reflect the quantity of erosion, waterlogging, flood, drought, etc. which significantly helpful to understand the rocks, climate, drainage, relief, and vegetation cover in the watershed.

Since the first quarter of 20th century, drainage basin has been considered as areal unit of the geomorphic investigation, but as a basic geomorphic unit, these analyses useful for application of various morphometric techniques. The study of different properties of river basins becomes significant because of their consequence in the development of landform. Besides, it also provides essential input in the river basin

* Author's address for correspondence

Department of Geography, Sir Parashurambhau College, Pune, India.

Tel.: + 91 9420343203

Emails: manojdevne12@gmail.com (M. Devne), mundhenitin8@gmail.com, (N. Mundhe -Corresponding author), akshuk@gmail.com (A. Kamble), gmdhawale@gmail.com (G. Dhawale).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.21523/gcj5.19030101>

© 2019 GATHA COGNITION® All rights reserved.

development and understanding the hydrological properties. The present study performed to understand various morphometric aspects: linear, areal and relief of Kolavadi sub-watershed using geo-spatial techniques. Morphometric analysis using remote sensing and GIS techniques was widely used for watershed prioritization, sub-watershed analysis and management (Khan *et al.*, 2001; Vittal *et al.*, 2004; Chopra *et al.*, 2005; Ratnam *et al.*, 2005). GIS techniques have potentials of precise and timely spatial information for watershed planning and management.

2 STUDY AREA

Kolavadi sub-watershed (10.66 sq.km) is situated between 18° 14' 58" N to 18° 18' 24" N and 73° 45' 14" E to 73° 52' 48" E (Figure 1). It is sub-watershed in upper Nira basin and lies in Western Ghats of Maharashtra. The river has dendritic type of drainage pattern in the study area. The elevation ranges from 1040 to 620m above MSL. June to September are rainy months receive 973 mm average annual rainfall with July as the wettest month of the year.

2.1 Geomorphology

The geomorphological characteristics are helpful to understand the hydrological behavior of the watershed and its effective management (Agarwal *et al.*, 2011). Geomorphology of the Kolavadi sub-watershed as

ascertained based on 1:50000 scale morphological maps (MRSAC, Nagpur) and remote sensing data. The northern part is mostly included in HDP-A (highly dissected plateau with exposed rock and negligible soil cover) and is not favourable for groundwater recharge. The central area represents MDP-A, (moderately dissected plateau with exposed rock and thin soil cover), which is also not favorable for groundwater recharge (Figure 2). The southern part is considered as MDP-C, (moderately dissected plateau with thick soil cover and thick weathered zone) which can favour of agricultural activity.

2.2 Land Use / Land Cover

Land use / land cover (LULC) in the region affects the infiltrating rate which shows the relationship between surface characteristics and groundwater recharge potentials (Kazakis *et al.*, 2015). In this study, LULC of Kolavadi sub-watershed was analysed using Landsat 8 OLI/TIRS data of February 8, 2016. The study area was classified into seven LULC categories viz. barren land, open land, forest area, scrubs and without scrubs and cropping land (single cropped: Kharif crops and double cropped- kharif + rabi crops). Land with vegetation cover includes forests and scrublands show more infiltration rate (Figure 3). Dense forests are observed in Northern part of the sub-watershed whereas agriculture: Kharif and Rabi along with the streams.




Figure 1. Study area: Kolavadi sub-watershed

3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The degree of morphometric aspects: linear, aerial, and relief aspects of the watershed were analysed (Horton, 1945; Miller, 1953; Schumm, 1956; Langbein, 1947; Smart and Surkan, 1967; Strahler 1968; Mueller, 1968). The morphometric analysis of the basin has been based on Survey of India (SOI) topographical maps (47F/15) and open series map (E43H15) at 1:50000 scale for delineation and digitization of drainage basin. Geomorphology map obtained from Maharashtra Remote Sensing Applications Centre (MRSAC), Nagpur (1:50000 scale). The parameters of the drainage basin derived from stream length, number of streams, basin area, perimeter and basin length. The morphometric parameters were calculated using the formula suggested by Horton (1945); Miller (1953), Schumm (1956) and Strahler (1964) for Kolavadi sub-watersheds of Gunjavne River in Maharashtra (India).

The thematic maps were verified and modified based on groundtruth information collected using GPS and fieldwork techniques. Initially, the topographic maps were geo-referenced and stream network digitized in GIS environment. The stream ordering was carried out using Horton's law. Linear aspects

include stream order (U), stream number (Nu), stream length (Lu), bifurcation ratio (Rb), mean length of streams of corresponding orders (Lum), stream length ratio (Lur), and mean stream length ratio (Lurm). Areal aspects comprise basin area (A), basin perimeter (P), stream frequency (Fs), circularity ratio (Rc), elongation ratio (Re), form factor (Ff), length of overland flow (Lg), texture ratio (Rt), constant of channel maintenance (C) and drainage density (Dd). Relief aspects include basin relief (H), relief ratio (Rhl), relative relief (RR), ruggedness number (Rn), and slope analysis (m). All these parameters were computed and analyzed for the Kolavadi sub-watershed (Table 1).

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Morphometric Analysis - Linear Aspects

The linear aspects of sub-watershed deliberate in terms of stream orders, associated with attributes of number and the average length of streams with their corresponding order. In the present study, various linear aspects including stream order (U), stream number (Nu), stream length (Lu), bifurcation ratio (Rb), stream length ratio (Lur), and mean stream length ratio (Lurm) were considered for detailed analysis (Table 2).




Figure 2. Geomorphology




Figure 3. Land use land cover

Table 1: Morphometric parameters

Morphometric Parameters	Descriptions	References
Linear aspects		
Stream order (U)	Hierarchical order	Strahler (1964)
Stream number (Nu)	$Nu = N1 + N2 + \dots + Nn$; where, Lu = Stream length, L1= Length of the first order stream and L2 = Length of the second order stream and Ln = 'n' number of the stream length.	Horton (1945)
Stream length (Lu)	$Lu = L1 + L2 + \dots + Ln$; where, N1 = First order stream, N2 = Second order stream and Nn = Number of streams	Horton (1945)
Mean stream length (Lum)	$Lum = Lu / Nu$; where, Lu=Mean stream length of a given order (km), Nu=Number of stream segment.	Horton (1945)
Stream length ratio (Lur)	$Lur = Lu / Lu-1$; Where, Lu= Total stream length of order (u), Lu-1=The total stream length of its next lower order.	Horton (1945)
Bifurcation ratio (Rb)	$Rb = Nu / Nu+1$; where, Nu = Number of stream segments present in the given order, Nu+1 = Number of segments of the next higher order.	Schumm (1956)
Areal aspects		
Drainage density (Dd)	$Dd = L / A$; where, L = Total length of stream, A = Area of basin.	Horton (1945)
Stream frequency (Fs)	$Fs = N / A$; where, L = Total number of stream, A = Area of basin	Horton (1945)
Texture ratio (Rt)	$Rt = N1 / P$; Where, N1 = Total number of first order stream, P = Perimeter of basin	Horton (1945)
Form factor (Ff)	$Ff = A / (Lb)^2$; where, A = Area of basin, Lb = Basin length	Horton (1945)
Circulatory ratio (Rc)	$Rc = 4\pi A / P^2$; where A = Area of basin, $\pi = 3.14$, P = Perimeter of basin.	Miller (1953)
Elongation ratio (Re)	$Re = \sqrt{(A\pi) / Lb}$; where, A = Area of basin, $\pi = 3.14$, Lb = Basin length	Schumm (1956)
Length of overland flow (Lg)	$Lg = 1 / 2Dd$, where, Drainage density	Horton (1945)
Constant channel maintenance (C)	$C = 1 / Dd$, where, Dd = Drainage density	Horton (1945)
Relief aspects		
Basin relief (H)	Vertical distance between the lowest and highest points of basin	Schumm (1956)
Relief Ratio (Rhl)	$Rhl = Bh / Lb$; where, Bh = Basin relief, Lb = Basin length	Schumm (1956)
Ruggedness Number (Rn)	$Rn = Bh \times Dd$; where, Bh = Basin relief, Dd = Drainage density	Schumm (1956)
Slope (m)	$m = \Delta y / \Delta x$ or Rise/Run where, m = Slope, Δy is vertical change, Δx is horizontal change	Todhunter (1888)

4.1.1 Stream Order (U)

'Stream ordering' is the primary step in quantitative analysis of watershed. It expresses the hierarchical relationship between stream segments, their connectivity and discharge arising from contributing catchments. In the beginning stage, the stream order concept proposed by Horton in 1945. Subsequently, this concept customized by Strahler (Pareta and Pareta 2011). According to Strahler (1980), the first-order streams are those who have no tributaries. The second-order streams are tributaries of first-order channels only. Second-order channels join to segments of third-order streams. Similarly, two third-order channels discharging water to fourth-order channels and so on. The trunk stream

through which, total discharge of water and sediments pass is the stream segment of the highest order.

In the present study, the stream ordering is based on the method proposed by Strahler (1980) and is a 4th order stream network. The maximum frequency is in case of first-order streams and reducing as stream order increases. About 42 streams observed in Kolavadi sub-watershed, out of that 30 are first order, 9 are second order, 2 are third order, and only one stream in fourth order (Figure 4). Drainage pattern of Kolavadi sub-watershed is dendritic (tree-shaped) type indicates homogeneity in texture without structural control.

4.1.2 Stream Number (Nu)

The ‘numbers of stream segments of each order form an inverse geometric sequence with an order number’ (Horton, 1945). About 42 streams observed in Kolavadi sub-watershed. The maximum frequency was in case of the first-order streams and decreases as the stream-order increases.

4.1.3 Stream Length (Lu)

Stream length reveals the surface runoff characteristics of the basin. It has been measured with the help of rotameter. The stream of relatively smaller length is characteristic of areas with more extensive slopes and exquisite surface. In general, ‘the total length of stream segments is maximum in the first-order streams’ and decreases with increasing stream orders. Horton’s law of stream length suggests a geometric relationship between the number of stream segments in successive stream orders and landforms (Horton, 1945). In the present study, stream length has calculated by using the Survey of India (SOI) topographic maps.

The maximum total length of streams is observed for first-order streams (Table 2) and decreases as the order increases. First-order streams are of 17.56km length, second-order streams are 11.84km, third-order streams are 5.16km and fourth-order stream is 0.42km long. This inconsistency is probably due to variation in relief, lithological variation and rock condition over the area (Singh, 1997).

4.1.4 Mean Stream Length (Lum)

The mean stream length is a dimensionless property show size of the drainage network and basin surfaces (Strahler, 1964). The mean stream length (Lum) is ratio between total stream length and number of streams (by order). The mean stream length of the study area is 0.59 for first order, 1.32 for the second order, 2.58 for the third-order and 0.42 for fourth-order. The mean stream length increases with increasing stream orders except for fourth-order stream.

4.1.5 Stream Length Ratio (Lur)

‘Stream length ratio’ is the ratio between ‘mean length of stream’ of selected order and total stream segments in next order (Horton, 1945). The variations in stream length ratio between streams of different order indicate late youth stage of geomorphic development (Singh and Singh, 1997). The stream length ratio in the study area varies from 0.16 to 2.25.

4.1.6 Bifurcation Ratio (Rb)

Bifurcation ratio is ratio of total number of streams for selected order with total streams of next higher order in the drainage basin. It is an index of relief and dissection (Horton, 1945; Schumm, 1956). Bifurcation ratio shows the degree of integration between the streams of different orders in a drainage basin. The lesser values show flatness or rolling physiography of the basin while the higher values indicate robust structural control on drainage pattern with well-dissected drainage basins (Strahler, 1980).

Total and mean bifurcation ratios of the Kolavadi sub-watershed are 9.83 and 3.27, respectively. In most of the studies, the bifurcation ratio characteristically varies between 3 and 5 for the watershed in which geology is reasonably homogeneous without structural disturbances to the drainage basin.

4.2 Morphometric Analysis - Areal Aspects

Areal aspects include drainage density, stream frequency, texture ratio, form factors, circulatory ratio, elongation ratio, length of overland flow and the constant of channel maintenance. This analysis can be useful to establish a relationship between the stream discharge and the total area of the basin.

4.2.1 Basin Area (A)

The total area of the basin is a significant parameter in morphometric analysis. The shape of a basin originates as a pear and over the course tends to get more elongated in nature. The area of the Kolavadi sub-watershed is 10.66 sq. km.

Table 2. Morphometric analysis - linear aspects

Stream orders (U)	Number of streams (Nu)	Length of streams (Lu) (Km)	Mean stream length (Lum)	Stream length ratio (Lur)	Bifurcation ratio (Rb)
1	30	17.56	0.59	-	3.33
2	9	11.84	1.32	2.25	4.5
3	2	5.16	2.58	1.97	2
4	1	0.423	0.42	0.16	-
Total	42	35	-	-	-




Figure 4. Stream orders




Figure 5. Contours

4.2.2 Basin Perimeter (P)

The external boundary of the watershed enclosed the total area. The length of this external boundary which also is measured along the water divide is the basin perimeter. Perimeter is indicators of watershed size and shape (Schumm, 1956). The perimeter of the Kolavadi sub-watershed is 14.18km.

4.2.3 Basin Length (L_b)

The basin length is the straight linear distance from the mouth of the basin to the farthest point on the water divide. This line intersects the projection of the direction of the line from the source of the mainstream (Horton, 1932). The length of the sub-watershed of Kolavadi is 5.1km.

4.2.4 Length Area Relation (Lar)

According to Hack, the stream length and basin area are related by simple power functions in large number of basins (Hack, 1957). The length area relation of the Kolavadi sub-watershed is 8.95.

4.2.5 Lemniscate's Value (k)

The slope of the basin can be expressed with the use of Lemniscate's value (Chorley, 1957). The Lemniscate's (k) value for the Kolavadi sub-watershed being 2.44, indicates that the basin occupied by a large number of streams in the higher order.

4.2.6 Drainage Density (D_d)

The ratio of the 'total length of streams of all orders' to 'area' of the basin is defined as drainage density and is expressed in km/km^2 . The closeness in the spacing of channels can be identified vide the use of drainage density. This is helpful for quantitative measures of average length of the a stream to the whole basin. It is classified into 5 different classes: very coarse (<1.2), low (1.2 to 2.4), moderate (2.4 to 3.6), high (3.6 to 4.8) and very high (4.6 to 6). Higher values are indicative of lower permiability, sparse vegetation, and rugged relief and lower values are indicative of higher permiability (Strahler, 1964). The drainage density in the Kolavadi sub-watershed is about $3.28 \text{ km}/\text{km}^2$, which is a moderate and indicative of highly permeable subsoil and vegetation cover (Nag, 1998).

4.2.7 Stream Frequency (F_s)

Expression of the total number of stream segments belonging to all orders per unit area is identified as stream frequency (Horton, 1932). Stream frequency tends to be in positive correlation with drainage density. The stream frequency of the study area is 3.94. A higher value of stream frequency indicates at a faster runoff and flooding in the catchment (Kale and Gupta, 2001).

Table 3. Morphometric analysis: areal aspects

Parameters	Results
Area (km ²) (A)	10.66
Perimeter (km) (P)	14.18
Length (km) (Lu)	5.1
Length area relation (Lar)	8.95
Lemniscate (k)	2.44
Drainage density (Dd) km/km ²	3.28
Stream frequency (Fs)	3.94
Texture ratio (Dt)	2.96
Form factor (Ff)	0.40
Elongation ratio (Re)	0.72
Circularity ratio (Rc)	0.66
Length of overland flow (Lg)	6.56
Constant of channel maintenance (C)	0.30

4.2.8 Texture Ratio (Rt)

The ratio between the numbers of first-order streams to the basin perimeter can be defined as the texture ratio (Chorley, 1957). It is indicative of the spacing of streams. Smith (1939) derived a five fold classification from very coarse texture (<2), through coarse texture (2 to 4), moderate texture (4 to 6), fine texture (6 to 8) to very fine texture (>8) classes. The drainage texture of the Kolavadi sub-watershed is 2.96 and indicative of a coarse drainage texture.

4.2.9 Form Factor (Ff)

Form factor (Ff) is the ratio between the basin area and the source of the basin length. The flow intensity of a unit area can be identified vide this factor (Horton, 1945). Circular shaped watershed have a lower value of form factor (0.754) as against an elongated shape would have a value nearing zero and whilst a value of 1 would denote a perfectly circular shape. The form factor of the Kolavadi sub-watershed at 0.40 symbolizes a highly elongated shape and highly vulnerable to erosion.

4.2.10 Elongation Ratio (Re)

The shape or form of a basin can be identified through the elongation ratio. It is calculated as the ratio between the diameter of a circle having an area similar to the basin and the maximum basin length (Schumm, 1956). Varied climatic and geophysical environments are valued between the ratio values of 0.60 to 1.00. Values nearing 1.00 are indicative of a lower relief, while those between 0.60 and 0.80 can be associated with steep ground slopes of high relief (Strahler, 1964). The varying shapes of a watershed viz. circular shape (0.9-0.10), oval (0.8-0.9), and less elongated (<0.7). The elongation ratio of Kolavadi sub-watershed is 0.72 indicative of a near elongated shape.

4.2.11 Circularity Ratio (Rc)

Miller (1953) defined circularity ratio is on similar grounds as elongation ratio where, it is the ratio of the

basin area to the area of circle having a same circumference as the perimeter of the basin. This ratio is a dimensionless index used to identify the outline shape of the basin. The value varies from zero (a line) to one (a circle). The value tends to be influenced by length and frequency of streams in respective orders, gradient, lithology and pattern of drainage (Umrikar, 2016). Circularity ratio of the Kolavadi sub-watershed is 0.66, indicating a moderate shape of the basin. This implies a late youth stage of geomorphic development of the drainage basin.

4.2.12 Length of Overland Flow (Lg)

Horton (1945) identifies length of the water flow over the ground surface before concentrating in a stream channel. It is an independent variable affecting development of drainage basin. It can be equated to being half of the reciprocal value of drainage density. The length of overland flow in the Kolavadi sub-watershed is 6.56.

4.2.13 Constant of Channel Maintenance (km²/km) (C)

Schumm used the inverse of drainage density as a property termed constant of stream maintenance (Schumm, 1956). Constant of channel maintenance is the ratio between the area of a drainage basin and the total length of all the channels expressed in per sq.km. Constant of channel maintenance is dependent on the rock type, duration of erosion, relief, permeability, vegetation cover, and climatic condition. Schumm (1956) classified constant channel maintenance (km²/km) into five different category i.e. more erodible (<0.2), moderate erodible (0.2 to 0.3), moderately low erodible (0.3 to 0.4), low erodible (0.4 to 0.5) and least erodible (>0.5) (Schumm, 1956). The constant of channel maintenance of the study area is 0.30 km²/km, which indicates that Kolavadi sub-watershed is moderate erodible.

4.3 Morphometric Analysis - Relief Aspects

Relief or gradient aspects are quite essential parameters of drainage basin analysis as they depict the nature of ruggedness and surface configuration. Relief ratio, relative relief, and ruggedness number are some significant parameters of relief morphometry that is examined in the sequel (Table 4).

Table 4. Morphometric analysis - relief aspects

Relief parameters	Results
Basin relief (H) (Average)	840 m
Relief ratio (Rh)	0.07
Relative relief (RR)	0.027
Ruggedness number (Rn)	1.27

4.3.1 Basin Relief (*H*)

Strahler (1957) defined the complete relief of the river basin as the variation in the altitude amongst the highest position of a watershed and the lowest position on the valley floor. The Kolavadi sub-watershed average relief is 840m. The highest elevation of the watershed is a 1051m, and the lowest altitude of the watershed is 603m (Figure 6). The highest contour is of 1040m in the northwest direction of sub-watershed areas (Figure 5). The lowest contour is 620m in the southern part of the study area. However, the lowest elevation of the Kolavadi sub-watershed is 603m in the southern part.

4.3.2 Relief Ratio (*Rhl*)

The ratio between entire relief of a basin and the highest element of the basin parallel to the principal drainage channel is the relief ratio (Schumm, 1956). The one is representing the horizontal and the other passing through the highest point of the basin. It denotes the overall steepness of the drainage basin and the intensity of erosion process operating on the slope of that particular basin (Schumm, 1956). The value of relief ratio in Kolavadi sub-watershed is 0.07, which indicate low relief and moderate to gentle slope. The low value of relief ratios is mainly due to the resistant basement rocks of the basin and low degree of slope (Pareta and Pareta, 2011).

4.3.3 Relative Relief (*RR*)

Relative relief was a term introduced by Melton (1957). A visual analysis of the study area was done with the help of digital elevation model (DEM). This DEM was produced based on contour data (Figure 6). The elevation varies from 603m to 1051m, which represent the land has gentle to a steep slope.

4.3.4 Ruggedness Number (*Rn*)

Ruggedness number is the output of maximum basin relief and drainage density, where both parameters are in the same unit (Strahler, 1950). In some times, both variables are significant, and the slope is steep as well as long, that time ruggedness number occurs in enormously high values. In the present study area value of ruggedness number is 1.27. It is high, which indicates that it is highly soil erosion-prone area.

In spite of the study area, morphometric parameters being favorable for infiltration, floods are seen to occur during heavy showers of the monsoon season, which are characteristically high intense though in short duration. The resultant floods are due to inefficiency of the surface storage facilities like reservoirs, tanks and others.

4.3.5 Slopes (*m*)

Slope analysis is an essential factor in geomorphic studies (Horton, 1940). The slope is defined as the

height rate of change in value from each cell to its neighbors (Todhunter, 1888). Lithology and the climatomorphogenic processes control the slope elements in the area with varying resistance. An understanding of slope plays a vital role in planning of agriculture, settlement, deforestation, and disaster management.

The lower slope values indicate flat terrain and the higher slope values show steeper terrain. The output slope dataset can be calculated as percent or degree of slope (Jensen, 2004). In the present study, the slope map is prepared based on the contours in Arc GIS platform. There are six classes of the slope identified and calculated in degrees. In Kolavadi sub-watershed area slopes vary from 0° to 43°. The southern and middle part of the Kolavadi sub-watershed is observed flat terrain and the northern part of the basin area which covered by a hilly area (Figure 7).

5 CONCLUSIONS

The study of morphometric analysis with the help GIS technique can efficiently and precisely solve various watersheds related issues like droughts, soil erosion, watershed evaluation, flooding, groundwater potential analysis, etc. Many researchers have found that GIS-based approach in morphometric analysis of river basin is more reliable than the conventional methods. GIS technique is a simple, user-friendly, sustainable, and time-saving tool to study the sub-watershed areas with an output of good quality and a high degree of accuracy. It can be concluded that the morphometric variables of fourth-order basin of the area are influenced by lithology. The study has also brought out the varied characteristics of the drainage network about sub-watershed. The results, thus obtained, can be used in watershed management strategies. The values of the bifurcation ratio (2 to 4.5) indicate that the drainage basin is affected by structural disturbances. The high value of bifurcation ratio shows that mature topography with a higher degree of drainage integration. The sub-watershed basin has a less elongated in shape and a wide variety of climate and geology type. The computed values of drainage density and stream frequency highlight that the drainage basin is moderately drained. Relief ratio in the study area is 0.07, which indicate low relief and moderate to gentle slope. The value of ruggedness number is 1.27. It is high, which shows that it is highly prone to soil erosion.

All the outputs lead to the conclusion that the Kolavadi sub-watershed has the potential to perform its drainage function more effectively. Improving the storage capacity of the water bodies, rehabilitation and restoring channels to standard norms will enhance the sub-basins water holding capacity, which will be of immense use to meet the water demands of surrounding areas for agriculture and domestic purpose.




Figure 6. Digital Elevation Model




Figure 7. Slope

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Department of Geography, Sir Parashurambhau College, Pune for providing laboratory facilities. We express profound gratitude to Dr. Dilip N. Sheth, Principal, Sir Parashurambhau College, Pune. The authors thank to Dr. D. B. Pawar, Dr. Nitin Ade, Mr. Dattatrya Karande, Mr. Raghunath Sawant, and Mr. Amol Dhumal for their support and help for extensive fieldwork.

ABBREVIATIONS

GIS: Geographical Information System; **GPS:** Global Positioning System; **HDP-A:** Highly Dissected Plateau with Exposed Rock and Negligible Soil Cover; **LU/LC:** Land Use/Land Cover; **MDP-A:** Moderately Dissected Plateau with Exposed Rock and Thin Soil Cover; **MDP-C:** Moderately Dissected Plateau with Thick Soil Cover and Thick Weathered Zone; **MRSAC:** Maharashtra Remote Sensing Applications Centre; **MSL:** Mean Sea Level; **OLI/TIRS:** Operational Land Imager/ Thermal Infrared Sensor; **RS:** Remote Sensing; **SOI:** Survey of India.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Agarwal, C. S., 1998. Study of Drainage Pattern through Aerial Data in Nahargarh Area of Varanasi District, U.P.

Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing, 26 (4), 169-175.

Agarwal, R., Garg, R. D. and Garg, P. K., 2011. Morphometric analysis and prioritization of sub-watersheds in the Loni watershed, Uttar Pradesh using spatial information technology. *Journal of Indian Water Resources Society*, 31(3-4), 19-27.

Chopra, R., Dhiman, R. and Sharma, P. K., 2005. Morphometric Analysis of Subwatersheds in Gurdaspur District, Punjab Using Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques. *Journal of Indian society of remote sensing*, 33(4), 531-539.

Chorley, R. J., 1957. Illustrating the Laws of Morphometry. *Geological Magazine*, 94, 140-150. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756800068412>

Clarke, J. J., 1966. *Morphometry from Maps, Essays in Geomorphology*. Elsevier Publishing Company, New York, 235-274.

Das, A. K. and Mukherjee, S., 2005. Drainage Morphometry using Satellite Data and GIS in Raigad District, Maharashtra. *Journal of the Geological Society of India*, 65, 577- 586.

Gaikwad, R. and Bhagat, V., 2017. Multi-Criteria Watershed Prioritization of Kas Basin in Maharashtra India: AHP and Influence Approaches. *Hydrospatial Analysis*, 1(1), 41-61. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21523/gcj3.17010105>

Gaikwad, R. and Bhagat, V., 2018. Multi-criteria Prioritization for Sub-watersheds in Medium River Basin using AHP and Influence Approaches. *Hydrospatial Analysis*, 2(1), 61-82. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21523/gcj3.18020105>

- Hack, J. T., 1957. Studies of Longitudinal Profiles in Virginia and Maryland. *U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper*, 294(B), 45-97.
- Horton, R. E., 1932. Drainage Basin Characteristics. *Transactions of American Geophysical Union*, 13, 350-361. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1029/TR013i001p00350>
- Horton, R. E., 1940. An Approach toward a Physical Interpretation of Infiltration Capacity. *Proceedings in Soil Science Society of America*, 5, 399-417.
- Horton, R. E., 1945. Erosional Development of Streams and their Drainage Basins: Hydro-physical Approach to Quantitative Morphology. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America*, 56, 275-370. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1945\)56\[275:EDOSAT\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1945)56[275:EDOSAT]2.0.CO;2)
- Jawaharraj, N., Kumaraswami, K. and Ponnaiyan, K., 1998. Morphometric Analysis of the Upper Noyil basin (Tamil Nadu). *Journal of the Deccan Geographical Society*, 36, 1529.
- Jensen, J. R., 2004. *Remote Sensing of the Environment: An Earth Resource Perspective*. University of South California.
- Kale, V. S. and Gupta, A., 2001. *Introduction to Geomorphology*. India: Orient Longman Ltd., 82-101.
- Kazakis, N., Kougias, I. and Patsialis, T., 2015. Assessment of flood hazard areas at a regional scale using an index-based approach and Analytical Hierarchy Process: Application in Rhodope-Evros region, Greece. *Science of the Total Environment*, 538, 555-563. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.055>
- Khan, M. A., Gupta, V. P. and Moharana, P.C., 2001. Watershed Prioritization Using Remote Sensing and Geographical Information System: A Case Study from Guhiya, India. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 49, 465-475. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jare.2001.0797>
- Langbein, W. B., 1947. Topographic characteristics of drainage basins. *Water Supply Paper*, 968- C, 125-157. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3133/wsp968C>
- Melton, M. A., 1957. *An analysis of the Relations among Elements of Climate, Surface Properties and Geomorphology*. Columbia University, 389042 (11).
- Miller, V. C., 1953. *A quantitative Geomorphic Study of Drainage Basin Characteristic in the Clinch, Mountain Area, Verdinia and Tennessee*. Tech Report 3 Office of Novel Research, Department of Geology, Columbia University, New York, 1-30.
- Mueller, J. E., 1968. An Introduction to the Hydraulic and Topographic Sinuosity Indexes. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 58(2), 372-385.
- Nag, S. K., 1998. Morphometric Analysis Using Remote Sensing Techniques in the Chaka Sub-basin, Purulia district, West Bengal. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 26 (1 and 2), 69-76. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03007341>
- Pareta, K. and Pareta U., 2011. Quantitative morphometric analysis of a watershed of Yamuna basin, India using ASTER (DEM) data and GIS. *International Journal of Geomatics and Geosciences*, 2(1), 248-269.
- Ratnam, N. K., Srivastava, Y. K., Venkateswarao, V., Amminedu, E. and Murthy, K. S. R., 2005. Check Dam Positioning by Prioritization of Micro-watersheds using SYI Model and Morphometric Analysis – Remote Sensing and GIS Perspective. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 33(1), 25-28. DOI: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02989988>
- Reddy, G. P. O., Maji, A. K. and Gajbhiye, K. S., 2004. Drainage Morphometry and its Influence on Landform Characteristics in a Basaltic Terrain, Central India – A Remote Sensing and GIS Approach. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 1-16. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2004.06.003>
- Schumm, S. A., 1956. Evolution of Drainage System and Slope in Badlands of Perth Amboy, New Jersey. *Bulletin of Geological Society of America*, 67, 597-646. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1956\)67\[597:EODSAS\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1956)67[597:EODSAS]2.0.CO;2)
- Singh, R. L., 1974. Morphometric Analysis of Terrain. *Bulletin National Geographical Society of India*, 22.
- Singh, S. and Singh, M. C., 1997. Morphometric Analysis of Kanhar River Basin. *National Geographical Journal of India*, 43(1), 31-43.
- Smart, J.S. and Surkan, A.J., 1967. The relation between mainstream length and area in drainage basins. *Water Resources Research*, 3(4), 963-974. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1029/WR003i004p00963>
- Smith, G. H., 1939. The morphometry of Ohio: The average slope of the land (abstract). *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 29, 94.
- Sree devi, P. D., Srinivasalu. S. and Kesavaraju, K., 2001. Hydrogeomorphological and Groundwater Prospects of the Pageru River Basin by using Remote Sensing Data. *Environmental Geology*, 40(8), 1088-1094. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002540100295>
- Strahler, A. N., 1957. Quantitative Analysis of Watershed Geometry. *Transactions of American Geophysical Union*, 38, 913-920. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1029/TR038i006p00913>
- Strahler, A. N., 1964. Quantitative Geomorphology of Drainage Basin and Channel Network. *Handbook of Applied Hydrology*, (ed). Chow, V. T., McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, 439-476.
- Strahler, A. N., 1968. Quantitative Geomorphology. Fairbridge, R. W. (eds), *The Encyclopedia of geomorphology*, Reinhold Book Crop, New York. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-31060-6_304
- Strahler, A. N., 1980. Systems Theory in Physical Geography. *Physical Geography*, 1, 1-27. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02723646.1980.10642186>
- Strahler, A.N., 1950. Equilibrium theory of Erosional Slopes Approached by Frequency Distribution Analysis. *American Journal of Science*, 248, 673-696. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.248.10.673>
- Todhunter, I., 1888. *A Treatise on Plane Co-ordinate Geometry as Applied to the Straight Line and the Conic Sections*. London, Macmillan and Co. and New York.
- Umrkar, B. N., 2016. Morphometric analysis of Andhale watershed, Taluka Mulshi, District Pune, India. *Applied Water Science*, 7, 2231-2243. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-016-0390-7>
- Vittal, S. S., Govindaonah, S. and Gowda, H. H., 2004. Morphometric Analysis of Subwatersheds in the Pawagada Area of Tumkur District South India Using Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques. *Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 32(4), 351-362. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03030860>
